wkchek

• UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TH BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NA	= · · · · · · · · ·	Proposed name Boulder Mountains			
WASHINGTON 25, D. C	•	State Idaho			
DOMESTIC GEOGRAPHIC NAME	PROPO SAL	County Custer & Blaine			
Controversial Reason for Proposal: Check appropriate box(es) Changed appli		Name change Other	Is name in lo	Yes If Yes,	
Location Latitude 0	' " N.	Section(s)	Т.	R.	
of Feature Longitude O	" W. "			Meridian	
Brief description of feature: Presen Boulder: mountains, Custer as the line between said counties River and the East Fork of Sa point near Galena where the He	nd Blaine Count s and also the lmon River, ext	cies, Idaho, divide betweending from	the crest for een the drain Ryan Peak on	rming part of age of Big Wood	
MAPS USING PROPOSED NAME	VARIANT SP OR OTHER		MAP S	SHOWN ON	
Hailey, Idaho 30', 1895	See attached	l for descri	ption of feat	ure as defined	
Sawtooth, Idaho 30', 1896	by Dr. Merle	Wells, Idal	ho State Hist	orian	
Hailey, Ida.,1:250,000, 1956					
State of Idaho, USGIO, 1939					
Available information as to origin, so concerning nature of difference in the maps listed above are all in the 6th Report of the Geogram	usage or applicati n general agree	on:			
This report is being submitted decision based on the opinion revaries slightly from present rule. This feature is part of the Saw	for review and endered by Dr. ling.	Merle Wells	rrection of p . Dr. Wells'	resent opinion	
AUTHORITY FOR NAME	ĄD	DRESS		OCCUPATION	
Present map coverage					
Indicate number of people consulted	other than above a	authorities:			

SUBMITTED BY:

Name		Date
Address		
Government agen	y (State or Federal) Check_appropriat	e box
Agency	a mapping agonoy	Date 12/13/61
	TOPOGRAPHIC DIVISION	
Address	345 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD	THIEF, MAP EDITING UNIT

INSTRUCTIONS

This form should be used to provide supporting information on Domestic geographic name proposals. Names should be reported when more than one name is given to a feature by local inhabitants, and/or on official maps, or in reports of Federal, State, and county agencies; also if there is disagreement as to the extent of the feature or the application of the name. A full statement covering the field investigation of each name is required in order that adequate evidence may be submitted in proper form to the Board on Geographic Names for decision on the following:

- 1. Names that have more than one local spelling.
- 2. Names where local spelling differs from that shown on previously published maps or reports.
- 3. Conflicting name usage due to change in property ownership.
- 4. Conflicting published names in sparsely settled areas where local usage cannot be determined.
- 5. New names, that is, recently assigned or proposed names for features previously unnamed. (Use Board on Geographic Names form, Proposal of Name for an Unnamed Domestic Feature.)

Established names in local use, even if previously unpublished, are not considered new names. If spelling, application, and extent of use are undisputed, a name may be accepted for publication without submitting it to the Board on Geographic Names for approval.

Same and the same of the same of